

DISTRICT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY STATE OF COLORADO 100 Jefferson County Parkway Golden, CO 80401	COURT USE ONLY
Plaintiff: STEVE QUEST v. Defendant: JAKE RONDLE MORPHONIOS	
	Case Number: 19C670 Courtroom: 2G Division: H
DEFENDANT’S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT	

COMES NOW, the Defendant, Jake Rondle Morphonios, pro se, and for his Answer to plaintiff’s Complaint states as follows:

BACKGROUND

On April 6, 2019, defendant did a live 3 hour broadcast on YouTube entitled, "The Difference Between False Theories & Legitimate Research" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PuTN4caE3Mo0>). The subject of the show was about how people who act upon unfounded conspiracy theories can cause harm. Specifically, defendant cited two examples. First, the "Sandy Hoaxer" conspiracy theorists who claim that no children died in the 2012 Sandy Hook shooting and who subsequently have harassed the parents of the children mercilessly for 7 years, accusing

the parents of being paid "crisis actors". And second, the Comet Ping Pong Shooter, Edgar Maddison Welch, who acted upon the false theory that children were being held as sex slaves by Hillary Clinton in a Washington DC pizzeria and who fired a gun inside the restaurant.

Defendant was qualified to speak on both subjects, having interviewed Sandy Hook parents who lost children in the 2012 massacre and the fiance of Edgar Maddison Welch. Defendant emphasized the importance of treating the parents of the dead children with dignity.

During the broadcast, a live chat forum is open for viewers to make comments.

Moderators are on hand to ban unruly or disruptive "trolls". The trolls were especially difficult during this broadcast. One in particular kept returning to the chat forum with different user profiles after the previous ones were banned by moderators. Unknown to the defendant at this time, the user operating many of these troll accounts was Steve Quest. The troll account names included, Elite Rule, Montagraph, OctoberReignz, Umbrella Man and Agent 19.

Montagraph, now known to be Steve Quest, has pinned to the top of his Twitter profile his false allegation that the father of one of the dead Sandy Hook children, Lenny Pozner, owns a "teen porn site". See **EXHIBIT 10**. It is the defendant's opinion that Steve Quest initiated his coordinated attack over the defendant having taken a stand in defense of the Sandy Hook parents, including Lenny Pozner who is a long-time target of hateful smears

by Steve Quest and his associates. One of the plaintiff's associates even added a pornographic sound track to a slideshow of photos of Mr. Pozner's dead 6 year old son, Noah.

In fact, on April 4th, 2019, the plaintiff streamed a video of himself on his Montagraph Live YouTube channel stating that he and his "team" were the first to determine that the Sandy Hook shooter, Adam Lanza, never existed and that the parents were all "crisis actors". The video, a copy of which can be found here:

(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p6Dl23G8v0o>), includes statements by the plaintiff that he would sue Mark Bankston, the attorney who conducted the deposition of Alex Jones in the Sandy Hook defamation case, because Bankston said that proponents of the "Sandy Hoax" theory are "lunatics". Plaintiff says that because he, himself, was an "investigator", Bankston's lunatic comment qualifies as defamation. Plaintiff goes on to vigorously defend the very parties that the defendant had been speaking about in his April 6th broadcast. This defense of the Sandy Hook parents from hateful online trolls is almost certainly the impetus for the plaintiff and his "team" to try to disrupt the defendant's broadcast.

When moderators figured out that the ringleader of the trolls who were attacking and disrupting was Montagraph aka OctoberReignz, they advised the defendant who paused to remark to the audience at minute mark 02:52:25, "OctoberReignz. Yeah, here come the... That's where it is. Montagraph and his pedophilia. If anyone wants to find out

about Montagraph, just type in "Montagraph". Do a little research into his background. Uses a lot of these. Now I see where the trolls are coming from."

Later in the broadcast, as plaintiff continued to return with additional profiles to disrupt defendant's show, defendant again warned viewers to be wary of this team of internet trolls, stating "Agent 19, Montagraph, OctoberReignz - and I could go through the list and list out a bunch of their other fake IDs. These partners in crime... look that stuff up. These guys are into some bad stuff. Montagraph, Agent 19, OctoberReignz. You want to find out good stuff about Montagraph? Find the channel "TDOR 53". If you want to learn about Montagraph - well, you probably don't want to - but, maybe Google "Montagraph, sex with a melon".

This is the totality of what defendant said that can, in any way, be construed as being directed at the plaintiff. At no point did defendant mention the name "Steve Quest" or connect him to these anonymous troll accounts as defendant was unaware of the plaintiff's identity at the time.

DEFENDANT NEVER REFERENCES PLAINTIFF

In fact, plaintiff prided himself on keeping his name and identity unknown to the public. The plaintiff has gone to such great lengths to hide his true name and history that on January 10th, 1996, plaintiff filed a legal name change from Roy Warren Marshall to Steve Quest in Jefferson County, Colorado (Case# 1994C14846). See **EXHIBIT 11**.

Plaintiff brags in many videos that nobody knows who he really is and will never learn his true identity. In one video (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fa7umOdqFTA>), plaintiff responds to someone on the internet who thought they had learned that Montagraph's true identity as "Steve Quest". Plaintiff responds by saying that this is merely a fake name, or a DBA (doing business as) that he sometimes uses. In another video, plaintiff displays an expired passport that had his old name "Roy Marshall" in an attempt to prove that he is not Steve Quest.

Defendant was unaware of this at the time of his April 6th broadcast and never mentioned the plaintiff by name, location or any other personally identifying information.

Defendant therefore could not have caused damage to plaintiff as defendant only briefly referred to a group of anonymous troll account names that already had widespread, notorious reputations for cyber stalking, threats, harassment and association with sexual perversions.

BASIS FOR DEFENDANT'S OPINION THAT ANONYMOUS USER

"MONTAGRAPH" WAS ASSOCIATED WITH PEDOPHILIA

At no point, ever, has the defendant stated that plaintiff is a pedophile. However, scores of people over the past decade have made this claim on YouTube, blogs and social media sites and "Montagraph" has a widespread reputation for pedophilia-related behaviors. A

Google search of the term “Montagraph pedophile” produces 1,330 results. See

EXHIBIT 12.

In 2017, a Brazilian feces-fetish porno depicted two lesbians defecating into a cup, eating it, then spitting the feces into each other's mouths as they make out, licking each other, and vomiting into each other's mouths. The name of the porno was "Hungry Bitches" and the trailer for the video was known in social media as "2 Girls 1 Cup". See Wikipedia: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2_Girls_1_Cup

Steve Quest reenacted the scat porno by dressing as a woman and slurping a cup of some brown substance. Whether it is truly feces is uncertain. In the video, Steve Quest is seen using a doll with the face of an adolescent girl, dipping the girl's face into the scat, and then French kissing the girl vigorously and moaning sexually for 2 minutes on camera. See **EXHIBITS 13-16 .**

The original video was so repugnant that it was removed for violation of terms. But it has since been reuploaded by other several other YouTube users. This particular upload alone, posted on April 10th of 2011 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_Ijs0IKxbEM) has 15,000 views. This video does belong to Steve Quest because in the comment section of the video, he says that it is his property. The video can be found elsewhere, including https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xKpfx_6t9PE which was uploaded on April 4th, 2009 and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mqqyRttqNZE>.

Merriam-Webster's dictionary defines "pedophilia" as "**sexual perversion in which children are the preferred sexual object**". See: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/pedophilia>

Any reasonable person would agree that a scat-fetish video of a grown man dressed in drag who moans sexually while passionately French kissing the effigy of an adolescent child is a valid example of "sexual perversion in which children are the preferred sexual object". This alone is sufficient evidence upon which the defendant could justifiably state his personal opinion that the plaintiff is "into some bad stuff" that is associated with pedophilia.

MONTAGRAPH AND SEX WITH A MELON

Defendant had ample justification to state his opinion that the anonymous user profile, "Montagraph", had stories connected to it regarding sex with a melon.

In video published on December 17, 2006, (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LR7SfbJj_FQ starting at 02:18) while dressed as a woman, Steve Quest cuts three holes in a honeydew melon. He inserts fake eyeballs into the two eye sockets and leaves a large circular opening for the mouth. After placing a wig on the melon, he French kisses the melon and inserts his fingers in a sexual manner into the mouth hole. See **EXHIBITS 17-18**.

In a video dated February 5, 2012, entitled "Montagraph molests melon for views and credit card scam" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AI7qBN8XHt8> and also <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tJZHD1jBFC8>), Steve Quest is talking about how to make money as a pornographer by selling restricted access to a private video of himself that he had previously recorded of himself copulating with a melon on video. See .

He discusses the plan in great detail with others, including how much access to give to viewers, what portions of his sex video to include, how much money to charge, the payment methods (all of which are violations of the payment processor's terms of service), and even how he can use the pornography to glean from his online enemies their credit card data and thereby their real identities and sensitive personal information such as their home addresses. See **EXHIBIT 20**

STEVE QUEST PORNOGRAPHY BACKGROUND

As has already been demonstrated, Steve Quest has produced bizarre fetish pornography (sex with a melon) with the intent to distribute. Plaintiff also openly admits in his videos and social media profiles that he owns a photography business and the web site <http://www.montagraphy.com>. In several videos, including this one <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hU1tyCvil04>, a screenshot of an ad placed by user Montagraph states: "Special Effects Pornography Models Sought! Seeking the extremely out-minded males, females and couples to participate in Special Effects Pornography.

Models 21-40 all shapes and sizes, colors and lifestyles. I can have you and your mate fucking on the moon and NASA will wonder where yall came from.” See **EXHIBIT 21**.

What is especially alarming about Steve Quest's photography/videography business is his admission of photographing minor children. In a video titled “Confessions of a Child Pornographer” (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hU1tyCvil04>), plaintiff is responding to someone’s criticism of him taking “nude pictures” of children. Rather than deny the claim, plaintiff states that he has photographed over 500 models and that all of the minors he has photographed in the Denver area have had parental releases signed to allow him to conduct his business. This defense by the plaintiff resulted in many people telling him that parents cannot grant permission to allow their minor children to be photographed nude.

STEVE QUEST PRODUCES CHILD SNUFF FILM

In 2010, Steve Quest released a video on YouTube in which he wears a checkerboard mask (representing the checkerboard flooring in the lodges of Freemasons) and torments a young woman who is bound and gagged in a shed. In the video, plaintiff is depicted strangling the young woman to death and leaving her corpse on the ground. See **EXHIBITS 22-23**. This character is known as the “Umbrella Man”. During defendant’s April 6th broadcast, one of the accounts used by plaintiff to harass defendant was “Umbrella Man”. Plaintiff also threatens on Twitter to come to the defendant’s domicile and use a stiff “umbrella” on the defendant. This is a reference to a child snuff film

produced by the plaintiff entitled, “Little Piggy and the Umbrella Man.” In this sickening video, plaintiff, garbed in his checkerboard mask, torments a young girl with an umbrella as she is bound and crying. The child is forced to wear a pig mask over her face and tears can be seen streaming from her eyes in anticipation of the Umbrella Man sexually assaulting and killing her. See **EXHIBITS 24-26**.

A portion of the transcript from the plaintiff’s dialogue is: “So tell me my little piggy – do you like it when a stranger is on top of you?”

Plaintiff acknowledges his ownership of this video on his Internet Movie Database (IMDB) page here: <https://www.imdb.com/name/nm9217446/> It states: “Montagraph is a director and actor, known for Little Piggy and the Umbrella Man (2014).”

This child snuff sex video can be found all over the internet on dark snuff-fetish websites that are full of bloody depictions of sadomasochistic sexual torture of both adult and child victims. A Google search of the term “Montagraph Snuff Film” produces over 300 results. See **EXHIBIT 27**.

Steve Quest/Montagraph has been widely condemned online by dozens of people and organizations for this child snuff film, many of whom fear that, at best, a real child was subjected to mock sexual exploitation for money, and, at worst, the video was a real murder.

On <https://haleighcummingsdotme.wordpress.com/2016/11/07/warning-satanic-and-horrific-video-of-kidnapped-little-girl-murdered-in-cellar/> , the author expresses concern that the little girl was a real victim.

On <http://thegipster.blogspot.com/2015/07/222-exposed.html> , the author writes, “We soon discover that Montagaph has a young woman tied up and gagged with duct tape. After a few rounds of verbal abuse, he proceeds to make her eat insects and write a letter to a loved one named Joe. He wanders off, mails her letter and eventually returns to strangle the woman to death on the floor. “

On http://www.jar2.com/Topics/Pedo_File_Cabal_%20Endgame_Part_01.html , which is a site dedicated to exposing criminals, the organization states: “This video appears on a page from someone called “Montagaph” and is entitled ‘Little Piggy and the Umbrella Man’. In all honesty, don’t watch it. The violent, psychopathic torturer in this video wears a black-and-white checkerboard mask.”

Plaintiff has a national reputation for being disrespectful to women. On October 31, 2014, the Today Show on NBC broadcast an expose on women being sexually stalked and harassed by men on the street in an effort to raise awareness about the dangers of predators. See <https://www.today.com/news/woman-viral-catcall-video-why-we-made-psa-1D80257986> . In the broadcast, the Today Show includes a clip of Steve Quest stating that he sees nothing wrong with what is being done to the women. See **EXHIBIT 28.**

Further, in video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fa7umOdqFTA> , plaintiff is screaming death threats at a female private investigator who was looking into his activities. He states on camera, “You know something you stupid woman! You have placed yourself into real life jeopardy... Just fucking wait for what I have in store for you!” See **EXHIBIT 5**

Many websites and blogs have written about plaintiff’s long-term history of cyber stalking, death threats and threats of lawsuits. On <https://www.socialmediasmostwanted.com/agent19-montagraph-child-abuse-predator-troll/> , known as Social Media’s Most wanted, readers are warned: “Cyber-bullying duo have a long history of TARGETING individuals online, especially CHILDREN! Agent19/Montagraph (with their OctoberReignz YouTube Channel) REPEATEDLY TROLL kids of Lenny Pozner (the father of child victim Noah Pozner from Sandy Hook).

PLAINTIFF’S ONGOING THREATS TO FILE FRIVOLOUS LAWSUITS

Black’s Law Dictionary defines frivolous as “lacking a legal basis or legal merit” and vexatious as “without reasonable or probable cause.” Black’s Law Dictionary (10th ed. 2014). Colorado Law states an action is frivolous “if the proponent has no rational argument to support the claim based on the evidence or the law” and vexatious if it is “manipulated in bad faith.” Pat’s Const. Serv., Inc. v. Ins. Co. of the W., 141 P.3d 885,

890 (Colo. App. 2005); E-470 Pub. Highway Auth. V. Jagow, 30 P.3d 798, 805 (Colo. App. 2001), aff'd, 49 P.3d 1151 (Colo. 2002).

Plaintiff's Complaint is both frivolous and vexatious. It attempts, in bad faith, to manipulate the Court and use it as a weapon of harassment in an ongoing cruel and concerted attempt to intimidate, bully and torment the defendant as well as to provide self-promotion and internet fame for the plaintiff.

On November 25, 2018, plaintiff posted a video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5hJ77LRVn58>. In his video description, published 5 months before filing his claim against the defendant, plaintiff writes "The tables are turning on all of the people that accuse others of vile and disgusting things." See **EXHIBIT 1**. This is the exact wording and phraseology used by the Plaintiff in his conclusory Complaint against the defendant. In the video, he states on camera starting at minute mark 23:37 that he is going to begin suing many people to make himself rich. He states: "You're just going to make me rich. Truly. You're going to make me so wealthy. Cause I'm gonna file one at a time, one at a time. When that one's done, two weeks later I'm gonna hit them with another one. Hit them with another one and another one. You are going to make me a very rich man." In another video, Plaintiff brags about how he is going to file lawsuits against "big" YouTube channels. He repeats his intention to get rich off of lawsuits against other YouTube channel owners in multiple videos. Defendant is clearly one of the channels being targeted by Plaintiff for the purpose of making him

“rich” – a position further evidenced by Plaintiff’s multiple public social media messages directed at the defendant following the broadcast in question.

Using different accounts, Plaintiff made the following threats of financial harm to the defendant:

“Fuck you... Get your checkbook ready. You have placed your livelihood in jeopardy... I am going to financially ruin you and beyond.” See **EXHIBIT 2.**

“You will be ruined for life dummy.” See **EXHIBIT 3.**

“You are an idiot. I will take your last dollar motherfucker.” See **EXHIBIT 4.**

Plaintiff has a public history of relentless cyberstalking and making threats of violence against his victims. In regards to plaintiff’s death threat against the female private investigator, after defendant responded to plaintiff’s threats against defendant by saying that it is wrong to threaten women, plaintiff responded with an additional threats, “Mr. Quest is coming for you! Bet on that, IN PERSON”. See **EXHIBIT 6.** “Sorry for your luck Jake. I have your exact location and will be seeing you face to face. Sure will. You can show me how tough you are.” See **EXHIBIT 7.** “Prepared to be stomped on relentlessly.” See **EXHIBIT 8.**

Following these threats, defendant filed a report (OCA 201903049) with the Forsyth County, NC Sheriff’s Department on April 7th, 2019 to document Plaintiff’s threats of violence. See **EXHIBIT 9.** Defendant advised plaintiff that the report had been filed.

Plaintiff responded publishing more than a dozen videos making various threats against defendant, including threats to sue. Plaintiff created public videos of himself visiting defendant's online donation sites and filing false allegations against plaintiff in an attempt to have his accounts shut down and denied future ability to receive viewer support – the primary source of defendant's income. Plaintiff also recorded and published a video of himself filing a false report to the FBI against the defendant.

These videos provided lurid entertainment for the plaintiff's viewing audience, many of whom followed the example of the plaintiff and joined in the campaign of harassment against defendant. Defendant then stated in a public video that if the threats did not stop, defendant would initiate proceedings to compel plaintiff to cease and desist. However, plaintiff preempted such litigation on April 11th by filing his vexatious Complaint against defendant.

After filing his frivolous Complaint, plaintiff and his "team" of internet trolls began a massive campaign to smear the defendant, producing over 20 videos with references to the lawsuit and/or portrayals of defendant in unflattering ways with many photoshopped images of the defendant's face. See **EXHIBIT 29**. As recently as May 28th, 2019, plaintiff made a video talking about his lawsuit against the defendant – milking his lawsuit to gain as much internet notoriety as possible. His video, entitled "Court Tomorrow – Let's See What Happens" included this invitation from the plaintiff for his viewers to come watch him in court: "Anyone who wants to show up, have at it! See ya there! Please Chime In". See **EXHIBITS 30-31** .

Plaintiff's viewer, Carry Ann T, expresses her wish for plaintiff to do a live broadcast of the court proceedings, referring to the defendant as "tubby" and a "snake". Plaintiff's viewer RoseThistleArtworks draws attention to the judge in the case, stating, "Not positive, but it looks like Judge Mark Randall handles that courtroom. Here is a bit about him <http://www.coloradojudicialperformance.gov/retention.cfm?ret=1478>"

Plaintiff also makes reference to another individual who he claims he is going to sue next, a YouTuber by the name of Drumer828. This viewer published an email sent to him by the plaintiff in which the plaintiff brags about his lawsuit against the defendant for \$25,000 and threatens Drumer828 to take certain actions or face a massive lawsuit himself. See **EXHIBIT 32**. Plaintiff is also harassing a woman named Denise Mattau whose child was murdered. In numerous videos, including this one <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-hDZSwzx1DQ>, she talks about the serial harassment against her by Montagraph and his "team" of trolls who repeatedly mock her over the death of her daughter. He has even called her landlord and made threats. See **EXHIBIT 33**. She states that she needs to raise money to defend herself in court. But, plaintiff has threatened to sue her as well as "many more" people as time goes on.

Plaintiff is an attention-seeker who tries to make money by generating drama and earning advertising revenue on YouTube from the increase in viewership. By attacking bigger name individuals, including the defendant, plaintiff has weaponized the Court as a means of harassing innocent parties and gaining notoriety for himself.

CONCLUSION

Steve Quest alleges in his Complaint that "Jake Rondle Morphonios accused me of vile and disgusting acts during a live broadcast on his Blackstone Intelligence channel, defaming my character in which thousands of people heard this publicly".

This assertion is vague, non-specific and untrue. When alleging defamation, a plaintiff's failure to identify specific false statements reasonably implied from the defendant's speech indicates that speech is a protected expression of opinion. Jefferson Cnty. Sch. Dist. No R-1 v. Moody's Investor's Servs., Inc., 175 F.3d 848 (10th Cir. 1999).

At the time of this broadcast, defendant did not know, nor could have known, who "Steve Quest" was. Defendant made no reference to "Steve Quest" in his broadcast. Reference was only made to a group of anonymous user accounts, including "OctoberReignz" and "Montagraph" that are shared between multiple parties. It is impossible to know the identity of which anonymous individual is operating anonymous internet accounts, especially when those accounts are shared randomly between multiple parties. In a YouTube video (<https://youtu.be/9aeHl6449U0>), "Montagraph" tells his viewers that he has "thousands" of email accounts and "hundreds" of social media accounts.

It was only after receiving a series of threats of violence and intense harassment from Montagraph and associated anonymous accounts following the original broadcast that

defendant researched and discovered the possible identities of these anonymous internet provocateurs, among which was the possibility of someone named Steve Quest. Only after plaintiff filed a Complaint using his real name instead of his many anonymous user names did defendant know with certainty who was accusing him of defaming the character of these anonymous “internet troll” profiles

Plaintiff therefore has no valid claim upon which relief would be granted under any circumstance. There is no set of facts asserted by plaintiff that would entitle the plaintiff to the relief requested. Under Colorado law, for a person to claim injury, he must prove that the other party made defamatory remarks that, on their face, are unmistakably recognized as injurious. See *Lininger v. Knight*, 123 Colo. 213, 226 P.2d 809 (1951).

Plaintiff does not allege that defendant had any personal knowledge of his secret identity. Nor does plaintiff even attempt to allege any specific claims of defamation in his Complaint.

The Burden of Proof is on the plaintiff, and his Complaint is absolutely devoid of any proof of wrongdoing on the part of the defendant. C.R.S. 13-25-125 (2016) provides that the defendant has the constitutional right to assert the truth of the defamatory statement as a defense. *Gomba v. McLaughlin*, 180 Colo. 232 at 236, 504 P.2d 337, 339 (1972) holds that for the plaintiff to recover damages, he must find that the substance of or the gist of the alleged defamatory statements are false. The plaintiff must prove by clear and

convincing evidence that the defendant's statements are false. Plaintiff has not so proven.

Plaintiff is also required to demonstrate actual malice on the part of the defendant, as ruled by the Colorado Supreme Court in multiple cases, including *Kuhn v. Tribune-Republican Publishing Co.* Actual malice requires a knowledge or reckless state of mind, not only as to the falsity of the published statements as understood by the viewers/readers. Plaintiff has not proven that the defendant had actual malice.

Article II, section 10 of the Colorado State Constitution "Freedom of Speech and Press" provides: "Freedom of the speech and the press... No law shall be passed impairing freedom of speech; every person shall be free to speak, write or publish whatever he will on any subject, being responsible for all abuse of that liberty; and in all suits and protections for libel the truth thereof may be given in evidence."

The Plaintiff does not allege conduct in his Complaint that amounts to a violation of law, nor does he list all of the elements of proof for the alleged violation. Plaintiff fails to indicate any measurable injury resulting from actions by the defendant. As plaintiff's Complaint is critically deficient, makes no specific claims of wrongdoing by defendant, relying on conclusory statements alone, and fails to state any specific claim upon which relief can be granted, defendant moves for the Court to rule in the defendant's favor – **with prejudice.**

As there is a demonstrable history of cyberstalking, harassment and threats of violence against the defendant by the plaintiff, and, as Plaintiff's complaint is nothing more than an additional form of vexation, and that the plaintiff has repeatedly indicated his intent to file "many more" similar vexatious lawsuits, the defendant requests that the Court issue a reprimand the plaintiff as a deterrent against future spurious litigation that perverts the judicial system and weaponizes it in the hands of an attention-seeking serial harasser.

Dated: May 28, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

EXHIBIT 1



#unrig

Chatty Do = Crokin - Evidence - A.I. - UnrigMyScam

3,826 views

284 26 SHAR



Montagraph

Published on Nov 25, 2018

The Tables Are Turning On All Of The People That Accuse Others Of Vile And Disgusting Things Without Evidence! Crokin, Is A Fine Example Of What Happens To Those Who Point Fingers Without Proof!

EXHIBIT 2



Montagraph @Montagraph · 3m

Replying to @morphonios

FU, I AM COMING FOR YOU, LEGALLY! Get your check book ready! I record is PERFECT! Not like yours! Why is you video on private Hot shot? I am cutting an OctoberReignz video, right now:) You will pay dearly, boi



EXHIBIT 3



EXHIBIT 4




EXHIBIT 5



Montagaph,Umbrella Man, October Reignz, Threats life of Women who dared call his Cell Phone!
1,693 views

👍 24 💬 21 ➦ SHARE ⌵ SA

EXHIBIT 6



Montaqrph
@Montaqrph

Follow



Replying to @morphonios

Is that all you have, that was 9 years ago DUMMY and she was a Private Investigator whos dox are splashed all over the net, you DMFKR Look up Statement Of Claim. Mr Quest is coming for you! Bet on that, IN PERSON

4:44 AM - 7 Apr 2019



EXHIBIT 7



Montaqrph @Montaqrph · 35m



Replying to @morphonios

Is that all you have, that was 9 years ago DUMMY and she was a Private Investigator whos dox are splashed all over the net, you DMFKR Look up Statement Of Claim. Mr Quest is coming for you! Bet on that, IN PERSON



Montaqrph @Montaqrph · 32m



Replying to @morphonios

Her name is Ms Strauss of Ace Of Spades Investigators in which I traced her unavailable call and pinpointed her to an INCH of where she sat! I have your Exact location as Well Jake, sure do. Don't get mad when you are forced to Prove your Defamation and you wont be able too:) \$\$\$



Montaqrph @Montaqrph · 29m



Replying to @morphonios

No one threatened to kill anyone, dummy! Open your ears. You too have placed your livelihood in jeopardy as well. Enjoy your fame! I am going to financially RUIN YOU and beyond!



EXHIBIT 8



OctoberReignz 41 minutes ago (edited)

Prepare to be STOMPED on relentlessly for your gross defamation!

3 likes, 1 comment, 1 share, 1 retweet, 1 repost, 1 reply

Hide replies ^



Jay Banks 32 minutes ago

I Love it when MONTY STRIKE BACK 👍

1 like, 1 comment, 1 reply

EXHIBIT 9

INCIDENT/INVESTIGATION REPORT		OCA 201903049	
Agency Name FORSYTH COUNTY SHERIFF'S	ORI NC 0340000	Date / Time Reported Month Day Yr Time 04 07 2019 20:30	
#1 Crime Incident(s) Fcso Police Service-information Provided	<input type="checkbox"/> Att <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Com	At Found Month Day Yr Time 04 07 2019 20:39	Last Known Secure Month Day Yr Time 04 07 2019 20:38
#2 Crime Incident	<input type="checkbox"/> Att <input type="checkbox"/> Com	Location of Incident Kernersville NC	Offense Tract Z2
#3 Crime Incident	<input type="checkbox"/> Att <input type="checkbox"/> Com	Premise Type OTHER RESIDENCE - SINGLE	Victim Residence Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single Family <input type="checkbox"/> Multi Family
MO	How Attacked or Committed Information Provided About Threats Out Of Jurisdiction.	Forcible <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Weapon / Tools Not Applicable/none
VICTIM	# of Victims 0	Type <input type="checkbox"/> Person <input type="checkbox"/> Business <input type="checkbox"/> Society <input type="checkbox"/> Government <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institute <input type="checkbox"/> Religious <input type="checkbox"/> L.E. Officer Line of Duty <input type="checkbox"/> Other/Unknown	Injury <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Minor <input type="checkbox"/> Loss of Teeth <input type="checkbox"/> Broken Bones <input type="checkbox"/> Severe Lacerations <input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> Unconscious <input type="checkbox"/> Other Major
	Drug/Alcohol Use: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A		
	V1	Victim/Business Name (Last, First, Middle)	Victim of Crime #
	Home Address	Home Phone	Employer Name/Address
	Business Phone	Mobile Phone	
	VYR	Make	Model
	Style	Color	Lic/Lis
	Vin		
OFFENDERS	CODES: V- Victim (Denote V2, V3) O = Owner (if other than victim) R = Reporting Person (if other than victim)		
	Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Person <input type="checkbox"/> Business <input type="checkbox"/> Society <input type="checkbox"/> Government <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institute <input type="checkbox"/> Religious <input type="checkbox"/> L.E. Officer Line of Duty <input type="checkbox"/> Other/Unknown		
	Code RP	Name (Last, First, Middle) MORPHONIOS, JAKE RONDLE	Victim of Crime #
	Home Address	Home Phone	DOB / Age 45 Race W Sex M
	Business Phone	Mobile Phone	
VIOLENT	Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Person <input type="checkbox"/> Business <input type="checkbox"/> Society <input type="checkbox"/> Government <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Institute <input type="checkbox"/> Religious <input type="checkbox"/> L.E. Officer Line of Duty <input type="checkbox"/> Other/Unknown		
	Code IO	Name (Last, First, Middle) QUEST, STEVE	Victim of Crime #
	Home Address	Home Phone	DOB / Age 55 Race U Sex M
		Business Phone	Mobile Phone

EXHIBIT 10

← → ↻ <https://twitter.com/Montaqraph>

Apps Folder

Home Moments **99+** Notifications Messages Search

If You Can't Speak Freely, You're Simply Not Free

youtube.com/channel/UCRVno...

Joined October 2015

Tweet to Montaqrph

3 Followers you know

1,081 Photos and videos

FOUNDER OF HONR NETWORK ONCE OWNED A TEEN PORN WEBSITE

teen0.com

Click here to see more domains connected to **lpozner**

13 32 53

EXHIBIT 11

[Back to Search Results](#)





Case Number: 1994C14846(County)

Date Filed: 1994-12-27

In The Matter Of: Marshall, Roy Warret Al

<u>Summary</u>	Judge	Kim Harvey Goldberger		
	Court	Jefferson County		
	Division	D		
	EFiled	Y		
	Appealed			
	Case Closed Date	01/10/1996		
<u>Case Status</u>	Closed			
<u>Judge or Magistrate</u>	Name	BAR Number		
	Kim Harvey Goldberger	1884		
<u>Agency</u>	Agency	Agency Case	Tkt/Summons Nbr	Arrest Nbr
<u>Related Cases</u>	Location	Case Number	Related Reason	Name
<u>Participants</u>	Litigant	Attorney		
	Marshall, Roy Warr Petitioner 1 DOB Gender Unknown Race Quest, Steve Ka Petitioner 2			

EXHIBIT 12

Google  

[All](#) [News](#) [Videos](#) [Images](#) [Shopping](#) [More](#) [Settings](#) [Tools](#)


About 1,330 results (0.43 seconds)

Agent19 admits he is a pedophile - YouTube
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_JuBeSwvq8o
Jun 14, 2015 - Agent19 admits he is a pedophile ... Exposing Montagraph's ANTITRUTHER CULT(Contact the trolls family members to help deprogram them!)

Montagraph - Encyclopedia Dramatica
<https://encyclopediadramatica.rs/Montagraph> ▼
Montagraph 'AKA - Dale Ellis Bennett' is the second most mentally challenged and how his half cousin is not a Pedophile - also he likes to drop peoples dox.

Montagraph EXspoced - BitChute
<https://www.bitchute.com/video/pwQyZaRgzuHk/> ▼
Nov 11, 2017 - PizzaGate #PedoGate pedophilia save our children right. 1 year, 5 months ago. video image play 163 0:00 - sandy hook the nail in the coffin.

EXHIBIT 13

 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_ljs0IKxbEM

 Folder



Search



2 Girls 1 Cup

14,986 views

 95

 68

 SHARE

 SAVE



EXHIBIT 14

🔄 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_ljs0IKxbEM

📁 Folder

 Premium

Search



2 Girls 1 Cup

14,986 views

👍 95

💬 68

➦ SHARE

📌 SAVE

⋮

EXHIBIT 15

🔄 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_ljs0IKxbEM

📁 Folder

 Premium

Search



2 Girls 1 Cup

14,986 views

👍 95


💬 68

➦ SHARE

≡+ SAVE

⋮


EXHIBIT 16

 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_ljs0IKxbEM

 Folder



Search



2 Girls 1 Cup

14,986 views

 95

 68

 SHARE

 SAVE



EXHIBIT 17



EXHIBIT 18



EXHIBIT 19



EXHIBIT 20

Steve Quest Sex With a Melon Credit Card Scam

Source: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AI7qBN8XHt8>

Free Lovin: Melon delicious! Ahhh!

Steve Quest: Ahhh! Now, hold on a second Free Lovin. Let me ask you a question... I have an idea. Check this out. Set up a server. Take 2 minutes of the, let's say, "juicy parts", okay? And then do what you said. But, configure it in such a way that only 1 IP address could see it 1 time. If the same IP address tried to come back, it wouldn't work. Does that make sense?

Free Lovin: Yeah, it makes perfect sense. You could also do cookies. On top of that, you could also segment it in order to put the "juicy stuff", as you put it, on top... In the next segment you couldn't see until the first one's gone.

Steve Quest: But, what I'm saying is, the only way to collectify the video in its entirety would all the people would have to be involved in that. Dude, that's fucking brilliant. Okay. And then you could sell each frame for a certain amount. Is that correct?

Free Lovin: Mmm-hmmm

Steve Quest: That's fucking brilliant. That's brilliant.

Raff Master: So that means you're gonna make money off of you fucking a melon?

Steve Quest: Yeah...

Free Lovin: If you want to pay me for it, I'll quote it for you too.

Steve Quest: How much are we talking about?

Free Lovin: I don't know. Let's go with a percentage.

Steve Quest: What do you want up front?

Free Lovin: I don't care about up front.

Raff Master: Well that's good because [unintelligible] in the BACK.

LAUGHTER

Free Lovin: You'll fucking score on that one.

Steve Quest: That deserves a fucking flinger on that one, goddammit.

[According to Urban Dictionary a "flinger" is: "A handjob. Based on the flinging motion the person giving the "flinger" makes to get ejaculate off her/his hand. Alternatively, the flinging can take place when the giver of the handjob continues during ejaculation, causing it to be flung all over."

<https://www.urbandictionary.com/define.php?term=flinger>]

Steve Quest: Okay, how about this? Do you have PayPal?

Free Lovin: Yeah, but I won't use it for anything because it's monitored.

Steve Quest: Oh, okay. Well, I can hook up the PayPal thing.

[This is against PayPal's User Agreement: "You agree that you will not use the Account to undertake any of the following actions or to display, upload, modify, publish, distribute, disseminate, transmit, update or share any information that is obscene, pornographic, paedophilic, libellous." See PayPal User Agreement:

<https://www.paypal.com/in/webapps/mpp/ua/useragreement-full#8>]

Steve Quest: Let's do some math here. Because, I can have the guy who works for me contact you and set up all the particulars as far as the "back end" (chuckles). There's 30 frames per second, 60 seconds in a minute and it's 2 minutes long... oh my mother fucking god, that would be intense.

Free Lovin: You could charge a penny a frame.

Steve Quest: No, a dollar a frame. Because I'm putting my face online.

Free Lovin: It's not your face they're interested in.

Steve Quest: Not only my face, but my...

Raff Master: [unintelligible]

Free Lovin: The melon's already in the ground. That fucking melon's done got pregnant and reproduced numerous times over. He's spreading his seed. That's as close to a "money tree" as you're gonna get... What kind of fucking recording are you ACTUALLY doing where you get 30 frames a second? Because almost none of them are 30.

Steve Quest: Hold on a second. Let me go check what it is.

Female Voice: Hey, Raff Master, it's already been done buddy. That's the "fun-ness" of it.

Steve Quest: Now hold on a second. I just thought of something, Free Lovin. The original recording was made in 2004. The quality is terrible. However, today I went and digitally remastered it. Mother fucker's perfect now. So, the bit rate now is 30 frames per second. I mean, it's perfect.

Female Voice: So does that mean that you're watching it Free?

Free Lovin: No. But here's the kicker though. For me to actually set that up, I'm gonna need the file.

Steve Quest: I know that. I understand that.

Free Lovin: I don't even need your server, to be honest with you... It would probably take me 2 days to code it, to get it secure.

[Steve Quest talks about a woman who has a copy of the video]

Steve Quest: [Referring to his detractors who may purchase the porno] You know, they're gonna have to give up a credit card - which means I'm gonna find out their names, their address, in order to get the file.

EXHIBIT 21

Confessions of a child pornographer 🕒 ↗

Special Effects Pornography Models Sought!
from [montagraph \(USA / Colorado\)](#), posted on [Apr, 29th 2002](#)
Topic seen 416 times

Seeking the extremely outer-minded males, females and couples to participaite in SFXP (Special Effects Pornography) Models 21-40 all shapes and sizes, colors and lifestyles. I can have you and your mate fucking on the moon and NASA will wonder where yall came from! See what other models have done. Always accepting color 3x4 4x6 and 5x7 for mass alter into the impossible. Full model credits givin upon request.

Activate Windows
Go to Settings to activate Windows.

▶ ⏩ 🔊 1:48 / 1:56 ⌵ 📄 ⚙️ 🗑️

EXHIBIT 22

Not secure | thegipster.blogspot.com/2015/07/222-exposed.html

Folder



We soon discover that Montagraph has a you...
make her eat insects and write a letter to a lo...
death on the floor. The plot of this 13 minute long video isn't exactly sophisticated. There is no story other than Montagraph showing up and...
murdering a woman. Why would someone go to the trouble of making a video like this in the first place?

EXHIBIT 23




EXHIBIT 24

| images.mefedia.com/little-piggy-the-umbrella-man-38486863-orig.jpg



EXHIBIT 25

<https://haleighcummingsdotme.files.wordpress.com/2016/11/murdered-little-girl-dressed-like-pig.jpg>





EXHIBIT 26

s://www.liveleak.com/view?i=b3f_1410165381

The screenshot shows the LiveLeak website interface. At the top, there is a navigation bar with the LiveLeak logo, a search bar, and links for 'Home', 'Recent Items', and 'Channels'. Below this is a secondary navigation bar with categories like 'News & Politics', 'Yoursay', 'Liveleakers', 'Must See', 'Ukraine', 'Syria', and 'Entertainment'. The main content area features a video player with a play button in the center. To the right of the video player, there are social media sharing options (Share, Tweet, G+), a Facebook widget for LiveLeak, and a list of related items including 'Luke Rinehart - The Dice Man', 'Interview With Richard Drew Photographer "The Falling Man" '911', and 'The Flying Man - What If Superheroes Were Psychotic?'. A red arrow points from the Facebook widget to the play button in the video player.

EXHIBIT 27



The image is a screenshot of a Google search results page. At the top left is the Google logo. The search bar contains the text "montagraph snuff film". To the right of the search bar are icons for voice search and a magnifying glass. Below the search bar are navigation tabs: "All" (which is selected and underlined), "Videos", "Images", "News", "Shopping", "More", "Settings", and "Tools". Below the tabs, it says "About 324 results (0.30 seconds)". There are four search results listed, each with a purple title, a URL, and a snippet of text.

Google

montagraph snuff film

All Videos Images News Shopping More Settings Tools

About 324 results (0.30 seconds)

Montagraph - Encyclopedia Dramatica
<https://encyclopediadramatica.rs/Montagraph> ▼
In 2014 Monty began peddling child snuff hurtcore under the alias JB If that's not bad enough, he dresses up in gimp masks and at one point made a **snuff film** ...

Sam on Twitter: "That's Montagraph. Holmseth put out a video about ...
<https://twitter.com/Sambyhere/status/966569290370617344>
41 others. That's Montagraph. Holmseth put out a video about **Montagraph's snuff film**. Monty uses fake accounts. 11:04 PM - 21 Feb 2018. 3 Retweets; 5 Likes ...

Sam Anon on Twitter: "Montagraph declared war on Timothy Holmseth ...
<https://twitter.com/Sambyhere/status/963188053111201792> ▼
Feb 12, 2018 - 3 others. **Montagraph** declared war on Timothy Holmseth because Timothy outed him about his **snuff film** & other info. **Montagraph** creates false ...


Sam on Twitter: "Montagraph got snuff & Royston Potter defends it ...
<https://twitter.com/Sambyhere/status/957310310372790272>
46 others. **Montagraph** got snuff & Royston Potter defends it. @Montaqrph A **snuff film** is where someone actually gets murdered. You do realize this is a ...


EXHIBIT 28

← → ↻ ⓘ <https://www.today.com/news/woman-viral-catcall-video-why-we-made-psa-1D80257986>

Apps Folder

TODAY ON THE SHOW NEWS MSNBC

 **TODAY** Woman in viral catcall video: Why we made the PSA



"I'm telling you my story so somebody can understand and walk in my shoes for two minutes," explained Shoshana Roberts, the actress at the center of the viral video.


EXHIBIT 29

← → ↻ 🔒 <https://twitter.com/Montagraph>

📱 Apps 📁 Folder

🏠 Home ⚡ Moments 🔔 **99+** Notifications ✉ Messages

🖼️ 1,081 Photos and videos



Who to follow · Refresh · View all

City Newtown (16,000)

Region Connecticut (5,000)

Zip / Post 06470 (4,715)

Country UNITED STATES


Phone [REDACTED]


Fax -


💬 13 ↻


[Show this thread](#)

EXHIBIT 30





→ ↻  <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hU1tyCvil04>


Apps  Folder

☰ 





Court Tomorrow - Let See What Happens


1,462 views  176  18  SHARE  SAVE ...

 **Montagraph**
Streamed live 10 hours ago SUBSCRIBE 50K

Category [People & Blogs](#)

36 Comments  SORT BY

 Add a public comment...

 📌 Pinned by Montagraph
Montagraph 11 hours ago
Anyone who wants to show up, have at it! See ya there! Please Chime In



 11  [REPLY](#)

EXHIBIT 31

  <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hU1tyCvil04>

 Folder



Search

Long was radicalized by them to take up arms.

 2  REPLY



Cary Anne T 9 hours ago

Im in VA and can't be there -- maybe you can do a live for the event - that would be very educational - I'd love to see tubby roll up in his convertible. You even gave Tubby a chance to retract - not even apologize just retract and you would think, being the professional journalist that he is, he would have done it. You are a man of honor and Jake is a Snake.

Show less

 1  REPLY



RoseThistleArtworks 6 hours ago

Not positive, but it looks like Judge Mark Randall handles that courtroom. Here is a bit about him

<http://www.coloradojudicialperformance.gov/retention.cfm?ret=1478>

 1  REPLY

EXHIBIT 32

Drumr It's Montagraph - Legal Heads Up!  Inbox x



monty@i11uminati.com

to me ▾

Hey,

Ya know that video that you published on
April 26th 2011 on your YT Channel, called
(What's Wrong With Montagraph, Let Me Tell Ya About It)

Heads up, I have filed a \$25,000 Lawsuit against
Blackstone Intelligence, case # 19c670 in
Jefferson County Colorado, for defamation!

I too, will be filing MANY more, in coming months!

Would you be willing to be served a Subpoena and
explain to the court all of the affiliations you had
with those groups, back then.



Your video, eludes that you knew / know many of
the characters that will be included in a future
Federal Lawsuit against TONS of trolls!

Get back

Monty

EXHIBIT 33

denise matteau montagraph

Why Is Montagraph Harassing My Landlord?
Truth Convoy • 1.5K views • 5 months ago

Certificate of Service

The undersigned hereby certifies that on May 29, 2019, a true and correct copy of the DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT was placed in the US postal mail addressed to:

Steve Quest
98 Wadsworth BLV #127-245
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

Jake Morphonios
Defendant, Pro Se

